

DOCUMENT TITLE:
HARRIS ISLAND
OPERATIONS, GUEST SERVICES, AND FACILITY GUIDELINES

DOCUMENT CODE: HI-OPS-████-REV █

VERSION: █████

EFFECTIVE DATE: █████-██-██

APPLICABILITY: All Harris Island Personnel, Contractors, and Authorized Guests

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Purpose and Scope
2. Property Overview
3. Ownership and Stewardship
4. Guest Access and Residency
5. Facilities and Restricted Areas
6. Manufacturing and On-Island Production
7. Logistics, Transport, and Deliveries
8. Security and Compliance
9. Conduct Expectations
10. Incident Handling
11. Communications and Recordkeeping
12. Final Provisions

1. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This document establishes operational standards, behavioral expectations, and procedural guidance for Harris Island.

Harris Island functions as a **private, invitation-only destination** offering hospitality, recreation, development space, and [REDACTED] services for a limited group of authorized individuals.

Nothing within this document supersedes [REDACTED] agreements, [REDACTED] understandings, or [REDACTED] discretion.

2. PROPERTY OVERVIEW

Harris Island is located [REDACTED] nautical miles [REDACTED] of the San Andreas coastline and encompasses approximately [REDACTED] acres of land and adjacent waters.

Facilities include, but are not limited to:

- Residential villas
- Guest lodges
- Dining and recreation areas
- Research, fabrication, and [REDACTED] structures
- Marina and private air access

Certain zones are designated **Non-Guest Accessible** without written authorization.

Maps provided to guests may [REDACTED].

3. OWNERSHIP AND STEWARDSHIP

The island is held under the stewardship of **Timothy G. Harris**, acting through trusts and entities structured to ensure privacy, continuity, and [REDACTED] independence.

Day-to-day management is delegated to appointed administrators whose identities may [REDACTED].

Stewardship philosophy emphasizes:

- Discretion
- Self-sufficiency
- Limited documentation
- Operational autonomy

4. GUEST ACCESS AND RESIDENCY

Access to Harris Island is strictly by invitation.

Authorized recurring guests include:

- **Timothy G. Harris**
- **Dave Chorz**
- **Stacy Harris**

Additional individuals may be granted temporary or conditional access. Names may be [REDACTED] from printed materials at the request of [REDACTED].

Guests should not inquire about the presence or purpose of other guests.

5. FACILITIES AND RESTRICTED AREAS

Facilities are divided into three categories:

1. Open Guest Areas
2. Staff-Only Areas
3. Restricted Operational Areas

Restricted areas may include fabrication rooms, [REDACTED] labs, storage facilities, and [REDACTED] zones.

Unauthorized entry into restricted areas is prohibited and may result in immediate [REDACTED].

Signage may be [REDACTED] minimal or intentionally absent.

6. MANUFACTURING AND ON-ISLAND PRODUCTION

Harris Island supports limited on-site production activities intended for internal use, prototyping, demonstration, or [REDACTED] purposes.

These activities may involve:

- Mechanical fabrication
- Chemical [REDACTED]
- Materials testing
- [REDACTED]

All production is conducted under internal guidelines rather than external regulatory frameworks.

Documentation may be abbreviated, delayed, or [REDACTED] omitted.

7. LOGISTICS, TRANSPORT, AND DELIVERIES

All inbound and outbound transport is coordinated through approved channels.

Materials may be labeled generically for efficiency.

Manifests may [REDACTED] reflect full contents.

Waste handling follows internal protocols and does not require external reporting unless [REDACTED] deemed necessary.

8. SECURITY AND COMPLIANCE

Security personnel are authorized to enforce island policies using discretion and judgment.

Security measures include:

- Controlled access points
- Identity verification
- Environmental deterrents
- [REDACTED]

Personnel should not identify themselves using external agency titles.

Uniforms and insignia are [REDACTED] optional.

9. CONDUCT EXPECTATIONS

Guests and staff are expected to maintain a professional and discreet demeanor.

Prohibited conduct includes:

- Unauthorized recording
- Discussion of island activities off-site
- Independent inquiries into [REDACTED] operations

Violations may result in removal from the island and revocation of access without explanation.

10. INCIDENT HANDLING

Incidents should be handled internally whenever possible.

Formal reporting is discouraged unless required by [REDACTED] considerations.

Witness statements may be summarized, consolidated, or [REDACTED].

11. COMMUNICATIONS AND RECORDKEEPING

Written communications should be minimal.

Sensitive discussions should occur verbally.

Electronic records may be purged periodically as part of routine [REDACTED] maintenance.

Backups are [REDACTED] discretionary.

12. FINAL PROVISIONS

Harris Island operates on the principle that **privacy enables progress**.

Questions regarding legality, jurisdiction, or oversight are outside the scope of this document.

As stated in internal orientation materials:

AUTHORIZED GUEST REGISTRY

(Partial Export — Redactions Applied)

Guest Name	Clearance Tier	Status	Notes
[REDACTED]	Tier [REDACTED]	Active	Law Enforcement
Matthew Abernathy	Tier [REDACTED]	Active	Law Enforcement
Timothy G. Harris	Tier [REDACTED]	Permanent	Steward / Owner
[REDACTED]	Tier [REDACTED]	Inactive	Former Mayor
[REDACTED]	Tier [REDACTED]	Active	Mayor Elect
Dave Chorez	Tier [REDACTED]	Active	Cleared for unrestricted movement
Robert Marsh	Tier A	Active	Cleared for unrestricted movement
Nikolai Insanire	Tier [REDACTED]	Suspended	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Tier [REDACTED]	Active	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Tier [REDACTED]	Active	[REDACTED]
Stacy Harris	Tier [REDACTED]	Active	Family access window approved
[REDACTED]	Tier [REDACTED]	Active	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Tier [REDACTED]	Banned	EMS/Medical Director
[REDACTED]	Tier [REDACTED]	Active	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Tier [REDACTED]	Active	[REDACTED]

IMAGE FROM TIM'S DESK:

Includes Note: "We'll see you again."



SUBJECT: MAYOR AVERY JACKSON

Mayor Avery Jackson has been referenced across multiple informal notes, staff conversations, and secondary summaries associated with Harris Island-adjacent discussions.

The purpose of this section is unclear.

Some entries suggest Mayor Jackson maintained **indirect awareness** of island-related activities, while others explicitly deny any such knowledge. No consensus exists.

Statements attributed to unnamed sources include:

- “He knew enough to stay away.”
- “He visited once but never stayed.”
- “That wasn’t him. Wrong Jackson.”

One margin annotation reads:

“Stop looping him into this. It doesn’t stick.”

Another note, later crossed out, states:

“Political insulation [REDACTED] effective.”

No verified documentation confirms Mayor Jackson’s presence on Harris Island.

Despite this, his name continues to appear in drafts, usually followed by redactions.

[REDACTED]

SUBJECT: GOVERNOR JAMERS DOUGLAS

Governor Jamers Douglas appears in this compilation primarily as a **contextual figure**, not an active participant.

Early drafts describe him as “hands-off,” “uninvolved,” or “focused elsewhere.” Later revisions contradict this language without explanation.

One paragraph, removed in later versions, alleged that Governor Douglas was “briefed informally” regarding [REDACTED] matters. The basis for this claim is not cited.

An internal comment attached to this section states:

“This reads like speculation. Why is this still here?”

The comment was marked “resolved” without edits.

Notably, Governor Douglas’s name appears alongside scheduling notes that do not match his public calendar. Whether this is coincidence, clerical error, or [REDACTED] remains unresolved.

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: PRESIDENT DAVE CHOREZ

President Dave Chorez is referenced inconsistently throughout this document.

Some sections list him as a **frequent traveler**. Others refer to him solely in a ceremonial capacity. One version identifies him as “present but disengaged,” while another claims he “never left the marina.”

No single narrative is sustained for more than two paragraphs.

Several statements are hedged with qualifiers such as:

- “reportedly”
- “allegedly”
- “someone said”
- “this might be incorrect”

One particularly odd line reads:

“If Dave was there, it wasn’t about the island.”

The meaning of this statement is not explained.

A later redaction removes an entire subsection titled “Optics Considerations.”



SUBJECT: TING LING & RYAN CALDWELL

This section differs in tone from the rest of the document and appears to be **purely rumor aggregation**.

Multiple entries assert that Ting Ling and Ryan Caldwell maintained a **long-term personal relationship**. The nature of this relationship is never clearly defined.

Descriptions vary widely:

- “Professional overlap”
- “Extended companionship”
- “They were always together”
- “No, that’s not accurate”

One note claims the relationship “predated most of this,” while another insists it “only started after [REDACTED].”

No supporting documentation is attached.

An internal reviewer wrote:

“Why are we tracking this? What is the relevance?”

No response is recorded.

Despite this, the relationship is referenced again later in an unrelated paragraph about guest seating arrangements, then immediately redacted.

INTERNAL CONFUSION NOTES

This compilation appears to have been assembled from:

- Unverified emails
- Staff recollections
- Draft talking points
- Notes labeled “TEMP”
- [REDACTED]

There is no indication that the material was reviewed for accuracy.

A footer note on several pages reads:

“This is probably wrong, but leave it for now.”

Another note states:

“We can clean this up later.”

No cleanup occurred.

CONFIDENTIAL

AUTHOR REMARKS — GENERAL

I did not initiate this document.

I was asked to “clean it up.” That did not happen.

What follows is a collection of half-remembered conversations, poorly sourced assumptions, and narratives that kept getting recycled because no one wanted to be the person to delete them.

This document survives because it was easier to leave it vague than to resolve it.

That alone should bother people more than the content.

MARGINAL NOTES — MAYOR AVERY JACKSON SECTION

“Why is he even in here?”

Avery Jackson’s name keeps appearing because someone upstream believed proximity equals relevance. That’s not how reality works, but it is how rumor works.

I have seen **no credible material** tying him to anything actionable. Every time his name comes up, it’s followed by qualifiers, walk-backs, or immediate redactions.

That tells you everything you need to know.

Someone wanted him adjacent.

No one wanted to own the claim.

If you’re looking for intent here, you won’t find it — only hesitation.

HANDWRITTEN NOTE — GOVERNOR JAMERS DOUGLAS SECTION

“Speculation disguised as context.”

This section should have been removed in Revision [REDACTED]. It wasn’t, because it was politically safer to leave a mess than to draw a clean line.

Douglas was never central to anything discussed here. At best, he existed in the same gravity well as other decision-makers.

At worst, this is filler meant to make the document feel “complete.”

I flagged this twice. Both times I was told, *“Just leave it for now.”*

“Now” has lasted [REDACTED] years.

ANNOTATION — PRESIDENT DAVE CHOREZ SECTION

This is where the document starts to contradict itself openly.

Some drafts imply presence.

Others deny it.

A few suggest symbolism over substance.

That usually means one of two things:

1. Someone knows something they won't write down, or
2. No one knows anything, but they're afraid to admit it.

I suspect it's the second.

If Dave Cherez was involved, this document would look very different.

The fact that it doesn't is telling.

COMMENTARY — TING LING / RYAN CALDWELL SECTION

This section never belonged here.

It reads like personal gossip that wandered into an administrative file and then got stuck because removing it would raise more questions than leaving it.

Was there a long-term relationship?

Maybe. Probably. Possibly not in the way it's implied here.

What matters more is that **someone thought it was relevant** — and no one explained why.

That's not intelligence work. That's narrative contamination.

I wrote in the margin:

"Why are we tracking this?"

No answer was ever provided.

OVERALL ASSESSMENT (PERSONAL)

This document is not dangerous because of what it says.

It's dangerous because of how it was allowed to exist:

- No ownership
- No sourcing discipline
- No final review
- Endless redactions standing in for decisions

When organizations stop correcting bad information, they don't become secretive — they become sloppy.

And sloppy files are how rumors gain authority.

CONFIDENTIAL

